

Seeking the human in human-like computing

Dix, Alan

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Dix, A 2016, 'Seeking the human in human-like computing', Paper presented at Machine Intelligence 20 - Human-Like Computing Workshop (MI20-HLC), Windsor, United Kingdom, 23/10/16 - 25/10/16.
<<http://alandix.com/academic/papers/mi20-human-like-2016/>>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Seeking the human in human-like computing

Alan Dix^{1,2}

Talis, Birmingham, UK
University of Birmingham, UK

<http://alandix.com/academic/papers/mi20-human-like-2016>

Abstract. There are clear connections between the long-term study of human-computer interaction (HCI) and the emerging area of human-like computing (HLC). A recent report on HLC to the HCI community identified four main topics: (i) improving interaction with people through human-like computation; (ii) developing new interaction paradigms for interacting with HLC agents; (iii) emulating human capabilities as a good model for general AI and robotics; (iv) learning more about human cognition and embodiment through HLC. This paper explores these topics focusing on the potential input of HCI knowledge and methods and using past and current HCI research as examples,

Keywords. Human-computer interaction · Human-like computing · Intelligent interfaces · Low-intention interaction · Cognitive models · Regret · Consciousness of self · Spreading activation · Web-scale reasoning

1 Introduction

EPSRC offers a tentative definition of Human-Like Computing (HLC):

“offering the prospect of computation which is akin to that of humans, where learning and making sense of information about the world around us can match our human performance.” [9]

The call for this workshop describes HLC research as aiming to:

“endow machines with human-like perceptual, reasoning and learning abilities which support collaboration and communication with human beings.”

The established discipline of human-computer interaction (HCI) studies the ways in which people engage with technology and the ways in which technology can be designed to work for and alongside people. Emerging in the 1980s in the wake of the personal computer revolution, the discipline has evolved to encompass technologies from the web to the internet of things, and has always included a strong strand on intelligent interactions. There are clearly connections with the emerging area of HLC both direct in terms of understanding the way HLC systems should be designed in order to better work alongside people, and also more methodological as HCI has developed means to investigate rich real world situations where social, physical and digital effects interplay as well as more controlled lab-based studies of basic cognition. The rest of this paper unpacks some of these connections.

2 Four Themes

A report on the 2016 EPSRC HLC workshop to the HCI community [6], identifies four main goals for the area:

- (i) improving interaction with people through human-like computation
- (ii) developing new interaction paradigms for interacting with HLC agents
- (iii) emulating human capabilities as a good model for general AI and robotics
- (iv) learning more about human cognition and embodiment through HLC

The first of these is the key focus of the MI20-HLC call; however, this goal by necessity implies the second, as more human-like capabilities change the nature of interaction design, which, for the past thirty years, focused on the control of the computer as a relatively passive partner.

The third and fourth goals will be important secondary outcomes for those working on AI/robotics and cognitive science/HCI respectively and are likely to be mutually reinforcing. As an example of this, a computational model of regret was developed based on an initial informal cognitive model; this was found to improve the rate of machine learning, helping to validate the initial cognitive model of regret [4], however it also highlighted the importance of 'positive regret' (grass is greener effect) and hence expand the cognitive understanding.

An obvious application of (iii) is to help with (i). Work on web-scale inference on ontologies and linked open data [5,10] was inspired by spreading activation models of the brain and also the way humans seek additional knowledge through epistemic action [11]; this human-inspired algorithm (iii) was then applied to aiding human form-filling and task inference (i,ii). Paradoxically, the most human-like interactions may not depend on deep human-like computation; this was evident with Weizenbaum's *Eliza* in the 1960s [14] and also Ramanee Peiris's work on personal medical interviews in the 1990s in which relatively simple chatbot-style systems were able to elicit extensive patient case information [13]. However, this paradox might resolve itself. Preliminary work on the emergence of 'self' (iv), suggests that the best way to create systems that embody human-like internal dynamics, may be to focus on developing human-like external behavior [7].

From a HCI point of view (i) and (ii) are central. The core of HCI is to understand embodied interactions of people with computers and one another in real world situations, a crucial input into (i). As noted, most user interface design advice assumes a passive computational device, but there has been work on ambient intelligence, human-robot interactions and human-like avatars (e.g. [12]). This includes some formal modeling of 'low intention' interactions where the computer system is the more active participant [8]. However, substantial new research is still needed on (iv).

Discussion of the broader social and societal issues of IT and AI also has a long history. As far back as 1992, "*Human Issues in the use of Pattern Recognition Techniques*" [2] explored issues with black-box algorithms including the potential for gender and ethnic discrimination and corresponding legal and ethical implications. Twenty-five years later, these issues have come to the fore with celebrated cases, such as Google's 'racist' search results, and the EU General Data Protection Regulation, which will mean that, in some circumstances, algorithms will have to be able explain

their results [1]. Of course, this is a challenge, but not necessarily an obstacle, indeed the 1992 paper led directly to the development of more humanly comprehensible database interrogation algorithms [3].

3 References

1. Council of the European Union (2016). Position of the council on general data protection regulation. 8 April 2016. [http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sed/doc/news/document/CONS_CONS\(2016\)05418\(REV1\)_EN.docx](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/sed/doc/news/document/CONS_CONS(2016)05418(REV1)_EN.docx).
2. Dix, A. (1992). Human issues in the use of pattern recognition techniques. In: R. Beale and J. Finlay (eds). *Neural Networks and Pattern Recognition in Human Computer Interaction*. Ellis Horwood. 429-451.
3. Dix, A. and Patrick, A. (1994). Query By Browsing. In: *Proc. IDS'94: The 2nd International Workshop on User Interfaces to Databases*, Lancaster, UK, Springer Verlag. 236-248.
4. Dix, A. (2005). The adaptive significance of regret. (unpublished essay, 2005) Available from <http://alandix.com/academic/essays/regret.pdf> (7/6/2016)
5. Dix, A., Katifori, A., Lepouras, G., Vassilakis, C. and Shabir, N. (2010). Spreading Activation Over Ontology-Based Resources: From Personal Context To Web Scale Reasoning. *Intl Jnl. of Semantic Comp.* 4(1). 59–102.
6. Dix, A. (2016). Human-Like Computing and Human–Computer Interaction. *Proc. Human Centred Design for Intelligent Environments (HCD4IE) Workshop. HCI2016*.
7. Dix, A. (2017). I in an other's eye. *AI & Society* (in press).
8. Dix, A. (2017). Activity modelling for low-intention interaction. in *The Handbook on Formal Methods in Human Computer Interaction*. Springer (forthcoming).
9. EPSRC (2016). Human-Like Computing Report of a Workshop held on 17 & 18 February 2016, Bristol, UK.
10. Katifori, A., Vassilakis, C. and A. Dix, A. (2010). Ontologies and the Brain: Using Spreading Activation through Ontologies to Support Personal Interaction. *Cog. Sys Research*, 11. 25–41.
11. Kirsh, D. and Maglio, P. (1994), On Distinguishing Epistemic from Pragmatic Action. *Cognitive Science*, 18: 513–549. doi:10.1207/s15516709cog1804_1
12. Niculescu, A (2011) Conversational interfaces for task-oriented spoken dialogues: design aspects influencing interaction quality. PhD Thesis, University of Twente.
13. Peiris, D. (1997). Computer interviews: enhancing their effectiveness by simulating interpersonal techniques. PhD Thesis, University of Dundee. Available from <http://virtual.inesc.pt/rct/show.php?id=56> (7/6/2016)
14. Weizenbaum, J. (1966). ELIZA—a computer program for the study of natural language communication between man and machine. *Commun. ACM* 9(1). 36-45.